

Foreword

The vital importance of institutions for economic development is widely acknowledged in both the theoretical and empirical literature. Yet the precise nature of the relationship between the two is unclear: do 'good' institutions produce development, or does development lead to 'good' institutions? The Economic Development and Institutions (EDI) research programme, funded by the UK Department for International Development (DFID), aims to investigate that relationship and to develop an 'institutional diagnostic' framework that can help us understand better the nature of institutional impediments to development, and identify ways of attenuating them. In this study under the EDI research programme, the South Asian Network on Economic Modelling (SANEM), a Dhaka-based research organisation and think-tank, in collaboration with Oxford Policy Management, has carried out such a diagnostic of Bangladesh institutions, which aims to identify weak institutional areas that restrict development, and suggest appropriate directions for reform.

Bangladesh's economic growth and development performance over the past five decades have been impressive. With the poor quality of institutions, such performance has often been termed a 'development surprise' or the 'Bangladesh paradox'. But is it actually a 'surprise' or a 'paradox'? This Bangladesh institutional diagnostic provides an elaborated and convincing answer to this question by analysing the characteristics of the country's growth and development processes, exploring the key institutional features in these processes, unearthing major institutional weaknesses, and proposing associated reforms for future sustained and inclusive development.

The diagnostic of institutions in Bangladesh suggests that the secret to understanding the importance of critical institutional reforms for growth and development is to consider the factors that connect economic and social outcomes to structural characteristics of the economy and the underlying political economy dynamics. The diagnostic proceeds in several stages. After a thorough study of Bangladesh's development challenges, along with qualitative research into the opinions of the main stakeholders, a number of critical areas were identified: the ready-made garments (RMG) sector and, most importantly, the lack of progress in export diversification; regulation of the banking sector and the problem of non-performing loans; the tax system and the exceedingly low capacity for revenue mobilisation; primary education as an important component of public expenditure, but also as a key part of human capital accumulation; the management of land issues, in particular, the administration of special economic zones; and the judiciary, especially its limited capacity to handle cases of land-grabbing. Each of these areas is the subject of a 'deep-dive' thematic analysis undertaken by Bangladeshi academics, along with discussions of these analyses by international experts. The areas are interpreted in terms of interrelated proximate causes. The concluding 'synthesis' chapter combines these findings to examine the causal connections that determine how institutions work in Bangladesh, and how they impact development, and, finally, what this means for reform.

Selim Raihan

Principal Investigator and Editor of the Bangladesh Institutional Diagnostic
Professor of Economics, University of Dhaka
Executive Director, SANEM

April 2020

Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge the precious help the editors and authors of this study received from many friends and colleagues. In the first place, we would like to thank Umar Salam, who has chiefly managed this project at Oxford Policy Management. We are also deeply grateful to the thematic study discussants, Thorsten Beck, Jaime de Melo, Chris Heady, Beth King, Dilip Mookherjee, and Jean-Philippe Platteau, for the crucial role they have played in providing critical remarks and advice. Deep thanks also go to François Bourguignon and Jean-Philippe Platteau, research directors of the EDI research programme, Ravi Kanbur, member of the scientific committee of the institutional diagnostic research activity, and Umar Salam from Oxford Policy Management, for most valuable advice and comments, and, in some instances, for helping hold the pen. Their constant challenging of the arguments and conclusions of the study pushed the authors to produce higher-quality research. We also wish to thank the adviser of the project, Wahiduddin Mahmud, whose guardianship and advisory role on this work ensured it was of a high quality, informed by a diverse set of viewpoints, and situated in the reality of the Bangladeshi context.

We are also grateful to the enumerators and supervisors who helped during the institutional survey. We must acknowledge the decision makers (from the private and public sectors, representatives from international organisations and donors in Bangladesh, as well as from the civil society) who participated in the institutional survey in 2018/19, and also in the policy engagement workshop in September 2018.

We also acknowledge the EDI team members at Oxford Policy Management, who contributed valuable insights and provided a management assistance to the process, including Stevan Lee, Mark Henstridge, Benjamin Klooss, Rachel Smith-Phiri, and Umar Salam. We also acknowledge Ashibur Rahman and Shoaib Ahmed from SANEM, for their coordination support in relation to the organisation of key events in Dhaka. We also wish to thank the academics and government officials who participated in the workshops and provided insights that helped us to understand a number of issues related to the development challenges in Bangladesh.

The EDI programme management team at Oxford Policy Management and SANEM also wish to add their thanks to the editor of the Bangladesh institutional diagnostic (Selim Raihan) for his dedication, professionalism, and enthusiasm. We thank François Bourguignon moreover for his overall leadership of EDI's institutional diagnostic component, without which this study would not have been possible.

This project was funded with UK aid from the UK Government.

Table of contents

Bangladesh Institutional Diagnostic

PART 1. General approach to the diagnostic

Chapter 1: 'An institutional diagnostic of Bangladesh: Introduction', by Selim Raihan and François Bourguignon

Chapter 2: 'Bangladesh's development: Achievements and challenges', by Selim Raihan and François Bourguignon

Chapter 3: 'A cross-country comparative analysis of institutional indicators: Where does Bangladesh stand?', by Selim Raihan and Rafiqua Ferdousi

Chapter 4: 'The country institutional survey: Experts' opinions on institutions in Bangladesh', by Selim Raihan and Towhid Iqram Mahmood

PART 2. Thematic studies

Chapter 5: 'Informal institutions, the RMG sector, and the present challenge of export diversification in Bangladesh', by Selim Raihan (discussion by Jaime de Melo)

Chapter 6: 'Political economy of private bank governance in Bangladesh', by Mirza Hassan, Sayema Haque Bidisha, Towhid Iqram Mahmood, and Selim Raihan (discussion by Thorsten Beck)

Chapter 7: 'Institutional dimensions of tax reforms in Bangladesh', by Sadiq Ahmed (discussion by Christopher Heady)

Chapter 8: 'Institutional challenges in public spending: The case of primary education', by Zubayer Hossen, Bazlul Haque Khondker, and Selim Raihan (discussion by Elizabeth M. King)

Chapter 9: 'Institutional challenges in land administration and management in Bangladesh', by Selim Raihan, Jahid Ebn Jalal, Eshrat Sharmin, and M. Abu Eusuf (discussion by Dilip Mookherjee)

Chapter 10: 'Political economy analysis of the role of the judiciary in land dispossession litigation in Bangladesh', by Rafiqua Ferdousi, Kazi Maruful Islam, and Selim Raihan (discussion by Jean-Philippe Platteau)

PART 3. Synthesis

Chapter 11: 'The Bangladesh Institutional Diagnostic: The Synthesis', by François Bourguignon, Selim Raihan, and Umar Salam